**Title: A study of effect of storage condition on blood alcohol concentration in living subjects**

**Abstract:**

Research study was carried out to know whether alcohol is generated or lost in antemortem blood samples stored at different periods of time (2nd, 7th, 14th, 30th and 60th day) and with the presence or absence of preservative and refrigeration in antemortem blood samples of drunkenness patients brought to emergency medical department.Highlight of study is BAC (blood alcohol concentration) in samples without preservative and without refrigeration has fallen significantly as storage period increases at each point of time compared to BAC with preservative NaF (Sodium Fluoride) and with refrigeration at4°C. NaF and refrigeration of samples at 4oC significantly prevents loss of BAC in stored samples.BAC raised at 14th day in both the groups i.e. samples with preservative NaF and with refrigeration at 4°C & and samples without preservative and without refrigeration could be attributed to the microbial fermentation due to contamination.

Kew Words: Blood Alcohol Concentration; Sodium Fluoride; Refrigeration.

**Introducton:**

The accurate determination of alcohol concentration levels in human blood samples is important for valid results in research studies and often has critical medical and legal ramifications in forensic and toxicological reports.1Many studies have demonstrated that both generation and loss of alcohol in stored blood samples. Studies have concluded that both high temperature and an insufficient enzyme inhibitor concentration can result in alcohol generation, presumably as a result of bacterial fermentation.2Factors most affecting the stored blood samples, to be used for ethanol determination were the duration and temperature of storage and concentration of preservative.3 Ethanol losses in samples are positively correlated with the length of storage and the original ethanol concentration in the blood.4 Antemortem blood samples stored at room temperature or higher will cause a decrease in BAC, not an increase.5

Post-mortem production of ethanol up to 70 mg% till 7th day and in few cases even up to 14th day. After 14th day there is loss of ethanol that further decreased on 28th day to become alcohol free.6 Majority of cases showed higher number of BAC till 20 days thereafter from 21 days to 30 days they found subsequent decrease in BAC.7 The possibility of invitro synthesis of ethanol in samples has been raised, as well as loss due to evaporation or adsorption of the ethanol onto rubber stopper.8 Increase in post-mortem ethanol production is due to the presence of bacteria. More than 50 species of bacteria, yeast and fungus were capable of producing post-mortem ethanol.9 However in some studies freshly collected blood samples have shown that concentrations do not change in preserved samples stored in room temperature for upto two months or refrigerates samples stores upto 10 months.10

**Objective of Study:**

1. To determine whether alcohol is generated or lost in blood samples stored at different periods and with the presence or absence of preservative and refrigeration.

**Methodology:**

40 adult males who were brought by the police to the emergency department for drukenness examination were selected as subjects for estimation of blood alcohol. Then after taking written informed consent 30ml of blood is collected from the individuals who have consumed alcohol 2 hours prior. Then the collected 30ml blood sample was equally divided into 10 parts of 3ml each, out of which 5 samples were preserved in sodium fluoride vacutainer which contained 3mg NaF per ml of blood. Samples were well mixed and refrigerated at 4°C. Another 5 parts are preserved in plain vacutainer and kept at room temperature. Then these were subjected to estimation of blood alcohol concentration (BAC) by Gas Chromatography-Flame Ionization Detector (GC-FID) at various interval of time i.e. on 2nd, 7th, 14th, 30th and 60th day. Institutional Ethical committee clearance was obtained prior to conducting this study.

**Results:**

Tables 1 depicts BAC with preservative & with refrigeration and BAC without preservative and without refrigeration (comparison of mean differences of BAC in two groups at individual points of time) shows significant changes i.e. BAC in samples without preservative and without refrigeration had fallen significantly as storage period increases at each point of time compared to BAC with preservative and with refrigeration.

Table 2 depicts, when BAC samples were analysed within the groups i.e. samples with preservative & with refrigeration, we found that BAC had fallen significantly as storage time increased in this group.

Table 3 depicts, when BAC samples were analysed within the groups i.e. samples without preservative & without refrigeration, we found that BAC had fallen significantly as storage time increased in this group.

Table 4 depicts, Mean BAC in samples with preservative & with refrigeration, in which Mean BAC had fallen gradually as storage period increased except on the 14th day where Mean BAC increased and then fell gradually.

**And**

 Mean BAC in samples without preservative & without refrigeration in which Mean BAC has fallen gradually as storage period increased except on 14thday where Mean BAC had increased and then fell gradually.

**Discussion**

**BAC at 2nd, 7th, 144h, 30th and 60th day in Samples with preservative and with refrigeration *v/s* Samples without preservative and without refrigeration**

BAC with preservative & with refrigeration and BAC without preservative and without refrigeration which shows significant changes i.e. BAC in samples without preservative and without refrigeration have fallen significantly as storage period increases at each point of time compared to BAC with preservative and with refrigeration.

The highlight of our study is use preservative NaF& refrigeration of samples at 4oC for analyzing BAC in which fall of BAC is significantly less than those samples without preservative and without refrigeration.

Lewis RJ et al.11 concluded that NaF and refrigeration is preferred way of storage for estimation of blood alcohol concentration of the blood samples.

In the study conducted by Dubowskiet al.12 the samples which were analysed without preservative & without refrigeration showed a decrease in the BAC and when they studied the samples with preservative (NaF and biocide sodium azide) & with refrigeration there was no significant change in BAC. So also Brown et al.3 in his study concluded that factors most affecting the stored blood samples were duration, temperature of storage and concentration of preservative. Similarly Wigmore JG5 in his study concluded that the most accurate determination of BAC is from the blood samples which are refrigerated and Ma Dong13 concluded the best condition for keeping ethanol stable in blood is refrigeration with preservative and with 50% of air chamber in container. Also the results of Slavkaet al.14 showed that alcohol concentrations were significantly reduced with the increase of temperature and prolongation of storage. Room temperature storage of samples is the least suitable way of keeping them, independent of the duration of storage. The temperature of storage, duration of storage, selection of preservatives and air quantity above the sample are said to be the most common causes of changes in the value of ethanol in whole blood samples. There is the synergism of these influences and it is hard to discuss the conditions separately. Wichai Wongchanapai15 concluded that the concentrations of ethanol in bloods with 1% sodium fluoride as preservative stored at 4oC were more stable than at -20oC and room temperature.

**BAC at 2nd, 7th, 144h, 30th and 60th day in Samples with preservative and with refrigeration**

When BAC samples analysed within groups i.e. samples with preservative & with refrigeration, we found that BAC has fallen significantly as storage time increased in this group.

**AND**

**BAC at 2nd, 7th, 144h, 30th and 60th day in Samples without preservative and without refrigeration**

When BAC samples analysed within groups i.e. samples without preservative & without refrigeration, we found that BAC has fallen significantly as storage time increased in this group.

BAC in above mentioned both groups i.e. samples with preservative NaF and with refrigeration at 4oC & and samples without preservative and without refrigeration has significantly fallen gradually as storage period increased.

Reason for loss of BAC in above mentioned both groups can be attributed to the chemical oxidation of the stored samples as well as due to the evaporation and adsorption.

Jones AW16showed that ethanol losses in samples are positively correlated with the length of storage and the original ethanol concentration in the blood. Moynham et al.17 found that in blood taken from living subjects, there was no alcohol generation regardless of varying storage temperatures, times and the presence or absence of an enzyme inhibitor, but there was some alcohol depletion after longer storage times. Shan X et al.18 found that alcohol positive cases showed various changes in BAC ranging from no significant change to a 47% decrease and concluded long term storage either under refrigeration, at or above room temperature decreased BAC. Tracey Winek19 inferred that whole blood samples stored for 35 days at 26.7oC to 37.8oC lost alcohol and the percentage loss of BAC averaged between l0-19%. And important mechanism with regard to stability of alcohol in stored blood was a strongly temperature dependent alcohol oxidation reaction which was not inhibited by sodium fluoride. Avbel AJ.20 showed blood samples without preservative stored under refrigeration (3oC) for 18 months to 2 years, showed decrease in ethanol content. The decrease were attributed to oxidation and (or) evaporation. Slavka Mandic-Radic14 showed that alcohol concentrations were significantly reduced with the increase of temperature and prolongation of storage. Wichai Wongchanapai15 concluded that the loss of ethanol in stored whole blood sample was due to the chemical oxidation rather than the physical loss. Anderson SG et al.2 found that consistently higher rates of alcohol depletion in the preserved samples might reflect salting-out effect and/or some reaction alcohol and sodium fluoride. Dubowski et al.12 showed that ethanol levels in whole blood samples stored up to 1 year (refrigerated at 4°C) without preservative declined slightly (less than 5%), but this decrease was not statistically significant. Samples stored with the preservative and biocide sodium azide did not show any ethanol degradation over the 12-month storage period. Glendening BL and Waugh TC10 concluded freshly collected blood samples have shown that concentrations do not change in preserved samples stored in room temperature for up to two months or refrigerated samples stores up to 10 months. Charies L et al.8 concluded in their study that Alcohol analyses of blood obtained aseptically from living humans can be delayed for as long as 14 days without a significant change in alcohol content. This hold true whether the blood sample is refrigerated or not, or whether a preservative is added to sample or not.

**Mean BAC in with preservative & with refrigerated samples *v/s* Mean BAC in without preservative & without refrigeration samples**

Mean BAC in samples with preservative & with refrigeration, in which Mean BAC has fallen gradually as storage period increased except on the 14th day where Mean BAC is increased and then fell gradually.

 Mean BAC in samples without preservative & without refrigeration in which Mean BAC has fallen gradually as storage period increased except on 14th day where Mean BAC has increased and then fell gradually.

In above mentioned both groups mean increase in BAC at 14th day could be attributed to microbial fermentation due to contamination.

C B Jani et al.7 concluded that majority of cases showed higher number of BAC till 20 days thereafter from 21 days to 30 days they found subsequent decrease in BAC in antemortem sample. Avbel AJ20 studied post-mortem human blood samples without preservative stored under refrigeration (3oC) for 18 months to 2 years, observing increase and decrease in ethanol content. The decrease were attributed to oxidation and (or) evaporation, the increases to post-mortem synthesis of ethanol by microbial fermentation of glucose. Anderson SG et al.2 concluded that both high temperature and an insufficient enzyme inhibitor concentration can result in alcohol generation, presumably as a result of bacterial fermentation. StojanPetkovicet al.21confirmed that the absence of preservative and prolonged storage at higher temperatures are not necessarily sufficient for alcohol production in antemortem blood samples. Singh and Chandra6 have reported that on 14th day of analysis there is post-mortem loss of ethanol that further decreased on 28day to that maximum to become alcohol free. However they also reported that maximum production of ethanol occur as 70mg % mostly within 7th day.

Usually the samples for BAC estimation which were stored withoutpreservative at room temperature were analysed after longer duration(approximately 1 to 2 months), which lead to significant loss of BAC as the storage period increased. We recommend that samples for BAC estimation should be ideally preserved in sodium fluoride vacutainer with refrigeration at 4oC.

**Conclusion**:

Present study concludes BAC in samples without preservative and without refrigeration has fallen significantly as storage period increases at each point of time compared to BAC with preservative NaF and with refrigeration at 4°C.NaF and refrigeration of samples at 4°C significantly prevents loss of BAC in stored samples.
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**Table 1.BAC with preservative and with refrigeration *v/s* BAC without preservative and without refrigeration (Comparison of mean differences of BAC in two groups at individual points of time)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **BAC at end of** | **Group** | **Number of samples** | **Mean BAC** | **P value** |
| **2nd Day** | With Preservative and with Refrigeration | 40 | 57.21 | 0.001 |
| Without Preservative and Without Refrigearation | 40 | 47.09 |
| Total | 80 |  |
| **7th Day** | With Preservative and with Refrigeration | 40 | 51.45 | 0.0003 |
| Without Preservative and Without Refrigearation | 40 | 42.50 |
| Total | 80 |  |
| **14th Day** | With Preservative and with Refrigeration | 40 | 55.84 | 0.0089 |
| Without Preservative and Without Refrigearation | 40 | 49.20 |
| Total | 80 |  |
| **30th Day** | With Preservative and with Refrigeration | 40 | 49.20 | 0.0168 |
| Without Preservative and Without Refrigearation | 40 | 44.40 |
| Total | 80 |  |
| **60th Day** | With Preservative and with Refrigeration | 40 | 41.92 | 0.0177 |
| Without Preservative and Without Refrigearation | 40 | 34.68 |
| Total | 80 |  |

Mann-Whitney Test: The two-tailed P value is considered significant.

**Table 2. BAC (in mg%) level with Preservative& with Refrigeration at 2nd, 7th,14th,30th and 60th day**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| BAC at end of | Mean Rank |
| 2nd Day | 4.08 |
| 7th Day | 2.78 |
| 14th Day | 3.95 |
| 30th Day | 2.80 |
| 60th Day | 1.40 |

Chi Square 75.34, Degree Freedom 4

Friedman Test: The P value is < 0.0001, considered extremely significant.

**Table 3. BAC (in mg%) level without Preservative& without Refrigeration at 2nd, 7th,14th,30th and 60th day**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| BAC at end of | Mean Rank |
| 2nd Day | 3.50 |
| 7th Day | 2.70 |
| 14th Day | 4.00 |
| 30th Day | 3.08 |
| 60th Day | 1.73 |

Chi Square 47.54, Degree Freedom 4

Friedman Test: The P value is < 0.0001, considered extremely significant.

**Table 4. Mean BAC (in mg%) level with Preservative& with Refrigeration *v/s* Mean BAC (in mg%) level without Preservative& without Refrigeration**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Days** | **Mean BAC in Sample with Preservative****& with Refrigeration** | **SD in Sample with Preservative****& with Refrigeration** | **Mean BAC in Sample without Preservative****& without Refrigeration** | **SD in Sample without Preservative****& without Refrigeration** |
| **2nd Day** | 57.21 | 11.47 | 47.09 | 11.08 |
| **7th Day** | 51.45 | 12.13 | 42.50 | 6.35 |
| **14th Day** | 55.84 | 9.26 | 49.20 | 11.03 |
| **30th Day** | 49.20 | 13.08 | 44.40 | 10.74 |
| **60th Day** | 41.92 | 10.72 | 34.68 | 14.75 |